

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/627,018	07/27/2000	Helmut Weber	70442.1201	9975
30734	7590 04/26/2006		EXAMINER	
BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP WASHINGTON SQUARE, SUITE 1100 1050 CONNECTICUT AVE. N.W.		THALER, MICHAEL H		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20036-5304			3731	

DATE MAILED: 04/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/627,018	WEBER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Michael Thaler	3731			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 A</u> This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under B 	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. Settion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)	_				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 3731

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Wolf (G9421125.6). Wolf discloses a convertible rongeur comprising front handle piece 1.2, bottom shaft piece 2, 1.1 having shaft portion 2 and handle portion 1.1, top shaft cutting piece 5 slideably engaged along said longitudinal axis with the portion 2, the top shaft cutting piece 5 disengageable with the shaft portion 2 such that the top shaft cutting piece no longer touches the bottom shaft piece (when the top shaft cutting piece 5 is pivoted to the position shown in dashed lines in figure 1) but remains linked to the remainder of the rongeur (since it is still linked to movable jaw part 3.2 as 1-2 of the translation). 5, lines page Alternatively, it would have been obvious that the top shaft piece 5 is a "cutting" piece as claimed since it, in combination with the movable jaw part 3.2, performs the cutting action.

Claims 1-7 are allowed.

Applicant's arguments filed Dec. 29, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The top cutting piece 5 of Wolf is linked to the remainder of the rongeur when it is in the position shown in dashed lines in figure 1 for the following reasons: The top cutting piece 5 of Wolf is linked to the remainder of the rongeur when it is in the position shown in

Application/Control Number: 09/627,018

Art Unit: 3731

figure 2 since the forked end of the top cutting piece 5 is engaged to the pin 3.5 on jaw 3.2 and movement of the top cutting piece 5 along its longitudinal axis in either direction produces movement of the jaw 3.2 to open or close it. When top cutting piece 5 is in the position shown in dashed lines in figure 1, the forked end of the top cutting piece 5 is still engaged to the pin 3.5 on jaw 3.2 and movement of the top cutting piece 5 along its longitudinal axis in either direction (by for example, manually gripping the proximal end of top cutting piece 5 and manually moving it) would still produce movement of the jaw 3.2 to open or close it. In fact, it appears that the top cutting piece 5 is not even capable of being detached from the pin 3.5 until the top cutting piece 5 is pivoted to the position shown in solid lines in figure 1 due to the angled orientation of the forked end relative to the longitudinal axis of the top cutting piece 5. That is, the bottom fork of the forked end is trapped between the pin 3.5 and top surface of fixed jaw 3.1 until the top cutting piece 5 is pivoted to the position shown in solid lines in figure 1. that the top cutting piece 5 is required to be oriented in the position shown in solid lines in figure 1 in order to assemble it to the remainder of the device as indicated on page 6, lines 14-16 of the translation. Thus, the top cutting piece 5 remains

Page 3

linked to the remainder of the rongeur (as claimed) when it is in the position shown in dashed lines in figure 1 and remains so until it is detached from the remainder of the rongeur after being pivoted to the position shown in solid lines in figure 1. The allegation that the Wolf instrument is not a cutting instrument is unfounded since it is clearly a cutting instrument when the forceps jaw is modified for cutting as indicated on page 7, lines 4-7 of the translation.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael

Application/Control Number: 09/627,018 Page 5

Art Unit: 3731

Thaler whose telephone number is (571)272-4704. The examiner can normally be reached Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan T. Nguyen can be reached on (571)272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)273-8300.

mht 4/20/06 MICHAEL THALER PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3731